

Pacific Northwest

CO₂ Mineralization Storage in Basalt Reservoirs



Presented By H. Todd Schaef Senior Research Scientist

2022 CUSP Annual Meeting



PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy



Photo: Andrea Starr (PNNI



Presentation Outline

- Storing CO₂ in Basalt Formations
 - Reasons for sequestering carbon in basalts
 - Unique basalt characteristics
- Laboratory Based Studies
 - Basalt carbonation
- Field Study Demonstration in Wallula, WA
 - Project background
 - Side wall core analysis
- Summary and Perspective
 - What we know from laboratory and field studies
 - Barriers and gaps needing addressed
- Part II: Multiphase Reservoir Simulations (Mark White)
- Part III: Early Career Contributions and Research Frontiers (Quin Miller)







Why Sequester Carbon in Basalts?

Favorable Attributes of Basalt

- Highly reactive with supercritical CO₂
- Self-sealing for leakage scenarios
- Common rock type with worldwide _____ distribution
- Flood Basalt = large volumetric thickness



Major basalt formations can be and in the deep sea.



McGrail, Schaef et al 2006, "Potential for CO_2 Sequestration in Flood Basalts", Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol 111, B12201.

Continental flood basalts are layered structures that serve as regional aquifers in parts of the world.





Major basalt formations can be found on every continent, offshore,



Discrete Carbonation Products Form Through Exposing Basalt Chips with CO₂-Water

Experimental Derived Data

- ✤ reaction products
 - Calcite
 - Aragonite
 - Rhodochrosite
 - Ankerite
- variable chemistry
 - Heavily substituted with Fe²⁺, Mn²⁺, and Mg²⁺
- carbonate structure transitions with depth
- estimated carbonate rate
 - ~0.19 kg m⁻³ yr⁻¹

Schaef, McGrail, et al 2010, "Carbonate mineralization of volcanic province basalts", IJGGC, 1 249-261.

Xiong, Wells, Horner, Schaef, et. al., 2019. "Potential for CO_2 Sequestration in Flood Basalts", Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol 111, B12201.







Wallula Basalt Carbon Sequestration Pilot Project Injected 977 MT of CO₂ 829 m Below Surface Pacific Northwest

Project Background:

- Drilling initial test characterization and well completion: Jan. May 2009
- Injection permit issued: March 2011
- Extended hydraulic test characterization: Sept. Nov. 2012
- ~1,000 MT CO₂ injection: July 17th August 11th, 2013
- Post-injection air/soil monitoring and downhole fluid sampling performed for ~2 years following injection
- Final well characterization activities: June July 2015
- Detailed wireline survey/Extended hydrologic tests/Sidewall Core
- Final well decommissioning/site demobilization: August 2015
- Reservoir Simulations (2020)
- **Current Status:**
- Sidewall core characterization (2017-present)











Pacific

Sidewall Core Characterization Revealed Ankerite as forming CO₂ post injection Northwest

- 50 sidewall cores (SWC) were collected across the open borehole section between 827.8 to 883.9 m (2,716 – 2,900 ft bgs)
- Carbonate nodules observed on SWCs occurred both as large (up to \sim 1mm) nodules as a coating (cements)
- XRD of nodule material identified ankerite
- Isotopic signature confirmed the injected CO₂ was mineralized



McGrail, Schaef, et al. 2017. "Field Validation of Supercritical CO₂ Reactivity with Basalts." ES&T, Letters, 4, 6-10.







2700

2720 -

2740 -

2760 -

2780 -

2800 -

2820 -

2840 -





Time, d



Perspective on CO₂ Sequestration in Basalts

- Laboratory studies confirmed rapid carbonation
- First field evidence of *in situ* carbonation occurring from a free phase supercritical CO₂ injection into a flood basalt reservoir
- Hydrologic modeling approach for tracing extent of mineralization
- Basalt systems offer the most realistic chance of a paradigm shift in the conventional view of risk profile of CCS







Derisking Carbon Storage with Basalts

Evolution of CO₂ trapping mechanisms in sandstone and basalt reservoirs

Address Gaps/Barriers to Commercialization

- Field derived mineralization rates are faster than laboratory values
- Impacts on porosity and permeability around a well and at the formation scale are unknown
- Estimating storage capacity, injection rates, and fluid migration at scale is difficult
- Detecting, and surveying injected fluids at reservoir scale is challenging in layered basalts.



Basalts convert CO₂ to solid minerals much more rapidly than other rock types. Mineralized CO₂ is immobile and poses **no risk of leakage.**

Carbon Storage Opportunities in PNW: How do we build Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Identify and evaluate carbon loop market actors

- Industrial CO₂ sources (e.g., stream purity, rate, fuel/feedstock source)
- Existing demand for CO₂ (e.g., requisite purity, rate, current market price for CO₂)
- Potential CO₂ utilization opportunities (e.g., requisite CO₂ purity, rate, finished commodity pricing)
- Geologic CO₂ storage resources (e.g., total storage potential, per-ton levelized storage cost)
- CO₂ utilization and storage incentives (e.g., 45Q tax credits, tradeable offset credits, RECs)



Key infrastructure, existing generation, and extent of the CRBG in the PNW. Contours are depths of basalt in meters.



- Climate change is a long-term strategic problem with implications for today
- CSS may have an important role in overall climate change policy
- Laboratory Studies Confirmed Rapid Carbonation when basalts from around the world exposed to scCO₂
- First field evidence of *in situ* carbonation occurring from a free phase supercritical CO₂ injection into a flood basalt reservoir
 - Injection of 977 metric tons occurred August 2013
 - Final characterization campaign prior to decommissioning in July 2015
 - Detailed wireline survey characterization and groundwater samples for detecting the presence/migration of ٠ CO_2
 - Carbonates recovered post CO₂ injection
 - Reservoir simulations indicate ~60% CO₂ consumed through mineral carbonation
- Validation of rapid carbonation rates that were first envisioned >15 years ago

Preliminary Modeling of Mineralization Wallula Basalt Pilot Project Injection (1000 tonnes)

- Well depth of 4110 ft (1253 m) •
- Slack Canyon #2 flow top •
 - top 2720.5 ft (829 m)
 - bottom 2768.5 ft (844 m) ullet
 - thickness 48.0 ft (14.6 m)
 - 70 mD permeability
 - 0.1 porosity
- **Basalt Minerals** •

Pacific

Northwest

- Plagioclase 37.4% (vol.)
- Clinopyroxene 19.1% (vol.)
- Glass 42.5% (vol)
- Magnetite 1.1% (vol)
- Secondary Carbonates •
 - Anatase
 - Beidellite-Ca, -K, -Mg
 - Calcite
 - Chalcedony
 - Dawsonite
 - Magnesite
 - Rhodochrosite
 - Siderite







Grande Ronde Basalt

Imnaha Basalt



Preliminary Modeling of Mineralization Wallula Basalt Pilot Project Injection (1000 tonnes)

Reaction	log K _{eq}	k _{ref} at T _{ref} , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	T _{ref} , °C	Ea, kJ mol ⁻¹	h	Reaction	log K _{eq}	k _{ref} at T _{ref} , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	T _{ref} , °C	E _a , kJ mol ⁻¹	h
Plagioclase + 6.186H ⁺ = 1.5465Al ³⁺ +	15.29	8.03×10 ⁻⁰⁸	60	42.1	0.626	Anatase $+2H_2O = Ti(OH)_4(aq)$	-9.65	4.47×10 ⁻⁰⁹	25	37.9	0.421
0.4535Na ⁺ + 3.093H ₂ O + 2.4535SiO ₂ + 0.5465Ca ⁺⁺						Beidellite-Ca + $7.32H^+$ + = $0.165Ca^{2+}$ + $2.33Al^{3+}$ + $3.67SiO_2(aq)$	4.65	1.05×10-11	25	23.6	0.340
Clinopyroxene + $4H^+ = Ca^{2+} + 0.25Fe^{2+} + 0.75Mg^{2+} + 2H_2O + 2SiO_2(aq)$	19.89	4.13×10 ⁻⁰⁶	60	78.0	0.700	Beidellite-K + $7.32H^+$ + = $0.33K^+$ + $2.33Al^{3+}$	4.43	1.05×10-11	25	23.6	0.340
Glass + $0.8869H^+ = 0.429H_2O +$ $0.2051Al^{3+} + 0.0378Ca^{2+} + 0.0364Fe^{2+} +$ $0.0329K^+ + 0.0049Mg^{2+} + 0.0056Mn^{2+} +$	-2.60	3.93×10 ⁻⁰⁸	100	30.3	0.318	$Beidellite-Mg + 7.32H^{+} + = 0.165Mg^{2+} + 2.33Al^{3+} + 3.67SiO_2(aq)$	4.60	1.05×10-11	25	23.6	0.340
0.0693Na ⁺ + SiO ₂ (aq) + 0.007Ti(OH)4(aq)						Calcite + $H^+ = Ca^{2+} + HCO_3^-$	1.70	5.01×10 ⁻⁰¹	25	14.4	1.000
Magnetite + $6H^+$ = $3Fe^{2+}$ + $0.5O_2(g)$ + $3H_2O$	-5.15	8.34×10 ⁻¹¹	60	18.6	0.279	Dawsonite + $3H^+$ = Al^{3+} + Na^+ + HCO_{3^-}	3.91	1.00×10 ⁻⁰⁷	25	62.8	0.000
	1			1		Magnesite + H^+ = Mg^{++} + HCO_3^- Rhodochrosite + H^+ = HCO_3^- + Mn^{2+}	2.04 -0.32	4.17×10 ⁻⁰⁷ 1.02×10 ⁻⁰³	25 25	14.4 21.0	1.000 0.900
						Siderite + H^+ = Fe^{2+} + HCO_{3^-}	-0.38	1.02×10 ⁻⁰³	25	21.0	0.900



$$r = k_{ref} A \exp\left[\frac{-E_a}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}}\right)\right] \left(1 - \frac{Q}{K}\right)$$







Pacific

Northwest

NATIONAL LABORATORY

Change in mineral mass (total moles in model domain, negative value indicates dissolution, positive value indicates precipitation) after 1000 MT of CO2 Injection into the Slack Canyon #2 Flow Top.

Preliminary Modeling of Mineralization Wallula Basalt Pilot Project Injection (1000 tonnes)



Pacific

Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Mass balance of 1000 MT of CO2 Injection into the Slack Canyon #2 Flow Top.



Pacific

Northwest



Formation density/wireline geophysical log representation of the CRB stratigraphy and injection zone(s) (left) and the resulting conceptual reservoir model (right) used in the numerical simulations. Identified units are UFI, Umtanum Flow Interior; SCFT, Slack Canyon Flow Top; SCFI, Slack Canyon Flow Interior; OFT, Ortley Flow Top; and OFI, Ortley Flow Interior. 16





pubs.acs.org/est

Article

Quantification of CO₂ Mineralization at the Wallula Basalt Pilot Project

Signe K. White,* Frank A. Spane, H. Todd Schaef, Quin R. S. Miller, Mark D. White, Jake A. Horner, and B. Peter McGrail



led a geologic carbon sequestration field demonstration where \sim 1000 tonnes of CO₂ was injected into several deep Columbia River Basalt zones near Wallula, Washington. Rock core samples extracted from the injection zone two years after CO₂ injection revealed nascent carbonate mineralization that was qualitatively consistent with expectations from laboratory experiments and reactive transport modeling. Here, we report on a new detailed analysis of the 2012 pre-injection and 2015 post-injection hydrologic tests that capitalizes on the difference in fluid properties between $scCO_2$ and water to assess changes in near-field, wellbore, and reservoir conditions that are apparent approximately two years



following the end of injection. This comparative hydrologic test analysis method provides a new way to quantify the amount of injected CO_2 that was mineralized in the field test. Modeling results indicate that approximately 60% of the injected CO_2 was sequestered via mineralization within two years, with the resulting carbonates occupying $\sim 4\%$ of the available reservoir pore space. The method presented here provides a new monitoring tool to assess the fate of CO_2 injected into chemically reactive basalt formations but could also be adapted for long-term monitoring and verification within more traditional subsurface carbon storage reservoirs.



- Pre-injection hydrologic test (2012)
- Three brecciated interflow zones

Pacific

Northwest

- 21-day extended hydrologic tests
- Constant-rate pumping to 425 m drawdown
- Variable-rate pumping to hold ulletdrawdown at 425 m
- Identification of two distinct flow-• regime/boundary conditions present within the SCFT2 basalt layer





transmissivity/storativity inner zone surrounding the Wallula Pilot well.





- Pre-injection hydrologic test (2012)
- Modeling via cylindrical grid (STOMP-W) ullet

	Figure 1		Depth						
Interval	Interval Color Reference	Interval Description	to Top (m)	Depth to Bottom	Thickness	Porosity [†]	Permeability	Transmissivity	760-
UFI	purple	Umtanum flow interior (UFI, secondary caprock)	743.7	787.9	44.2	1	2.63E-10	1.35E-11	780-
SCFT3	pink	Slack Canyon #3 flow top (SCFT3) porosity zone (no injection)	787.9	807.7	19.8	25	1.41E+02	3.25E+00	E 800-
SCFI3	blue	Slack Canyon #3 flow interior (SCFI3, primary caprock)	807.7	830.0	22.3	1	2.63E-10	6.78E-12	a 840-
SCFT2	cyan	Slack Canyon #2 flow top porosity zone (SCFT2 Inner, first 50 m)	830.0	846.4	16.4	22	8.28E+01	1.58E+00	Injection 860-
SCFT2	cyan	Slack Canyon #2 flow top (SCFT2 Outer)	830.0	832.7	2.7	1	4.20E+01	1.33E-01	880-
SCFI2	green	Slack Canyon #2 flow top outer non-contributing, (with properties of SCFI2)	832.7	846.4	13.7	1	2.63E-10	4.18E-12	0 _{No} Bo
SCFI2	green	Slack Canyon #2 flow interior (SCFI2, seal)	846.4	853.4	7.0	1	2.63E-10	2.14E-12	
SCFT1	yellow	Slack Canyon #1 flow top (SCFT1) porosity zone (injection)	853.4	861.7	8.3	13	4.67E+00	4.46E-12	Numeri grid m
SCFI1	brown	Slack Canyon #1 flow interior (SCFI1, seal)	861.7	867.1	5.4	1	2.63E-10	1.67E-12	intorval
OFT	maroon	Ortley flow top (OFT, no injection)	867.1	876.3	9.2	1	2.63E-10	2.79E-12	
OFI	red	Ortley flow interior (OFI, lower confining zone)	876.3	894.6	18.3	1	2.63E-10	5.57E-12	





Radial Distance from Injection Well, m

model implementation showing layers (basalt units), injection d boundary conditions.



- Pre-injection hydrologic test (2012)
- Modeling via cylindrical grid (STOMP-W)



Final numerical model calibration results based on the 2012 variable rate hydrologic test.





- CO_2 injection (2013) •
- Modeling via cylindrical grid (STOMP-CO2)



Injection pressure response during the August 2013 CO2 injection (black) and the modeled response (red) obtained with our calibrated STOMP-CO2 reservoir model.





- CO_2 post-injection geophysical survey (2015) •
- Modeling via cylindrical grid (STOMP-CO2) •



Post-minus pre-CO2 injection induction resistivity survey array difference plot showing the presence of highly resistive free-phase CO2 in the top two injection zone reservoirs (left) and simulated CO2 gas saturations showing a similar distribution (right).

Post-injection hydrologic test (2015)

Pacific

Northwest

- No discernible near- to intermediate-scale changes in reservoir hydraulic property conditions were observed for either the post-injection pressurized-slug/pulse testing and/or in the injection/recovery test analysis results.
- Analysis of the injection/recovery response identified the presence of a highly compressible intervening fluid zone located between the inner and outer formational reservoir regions surrounding the well.



Post-CO2 injection diagnostic test analysis indicating the presence of a compressive storage fluid phase within the injection reservoir.



Recovery Derivative

100000

Post-injection hydrologic test (2015)

Pacific

Northwest

Modeling via cylindrical grid (STOMP-CO2)



2015 Post-injection hydrologic test simulation results showing the observed pressure response in the injection well against the simulated pressure response with varying well skin factors (s_{κ}) and gas saturation scaling factors (gssf) with associated CO2 mass reduction percentages (mr).



24



- Post-injection hydrologic test (2015)



Comparison of leaky caprock simulation pressure response to observed pressure response during the 2015 post-injection hydrologic test (left) and during CO2 injection (right).





Secondary Modeling of Mineralization Wallula Basalt Pilot Project Injection (1000 tonnes)

Reaction	log K _{eq}	kref at Tref, mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	T _{ref} , °C	Ea, kJ mol ⁻¹	h	Reaction	log K _{eq}	k _{ref} at T _{ref} , mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	T _{ref} , °C	E _a , kI mol ⁻¹	h
Plagioclase + 6.186H ⁺ = 1.5465Al ³⁺ +	15.29	8.03×10 ⁻⁰⁸	60	42.1	0.626	Anatase $+2H_2O = Ti(OH)_4(aq)$	-9.65	4.47×10 ⁻⁰⁹	25	37.9	0.421
0.4535Na ⁺ + 3.093H ₂ O + 2.4535SiO ₂ +						Beidellite-Ca + $7.32H^+$ + = $0.165Ca^{2+}$ +	4.65	1.05×10 ⁻¹¹	25	23.6	0.340
0.5465Ca++						$2.33 \text{A}^{3+} + 3.67 \text{Si} \Omega_2(aq)$					
Clinopyroxene + $4H^+ = Ca^{2+} + 0.25Fe^{2+} +$	19.89	4 12 10-05	60	78.0	0.700		4.42		25	22.6	0.240
$0.75Mg^{2+} + 2H_2O + 2SiO_2(aq)$		4.13×10				Beidelitte-K + $7.32H^{+}$ + = $0.33K^{+}$ + $2.33AI^{3+}$	4.43	1.05×10-11	25	23.6	0.340
Glass + $0.8869H^+$ = $0.429H_2O$ +	-2.60	3.93×10 ⁻⁰⁸	100	30.3	0.318	+ 3.67SiO ₂ (aq)					
$0.2051Al^{3+} + 0.0378Ca^{2+} + 0.0364Fe^{2+} +$						Beidellite-Mg + $7.32H^+$ + = $0.165Mg^{2+}$ +	4.60	1.05×10-11	25	23.6	0.340
$0.0329K^{+} + 0.0049Mg^{2+} + 0.0056Mn^{2+} +$						2.33Al ³⁺ + 3.67SiO ₂ (aq)					
0.0693Na ⁺ + SiO ₂ (aq) +						Calcite + H^+ = Ca ²⁺ + HCO ₃ -	1.70	5.01×10 ⁻⁰¹	25	14.4	1.000
0.007Ti(OH)4(aq)						Chalcedony = SiO ₂ (aq)	-3.56	5.89×10 ⁻¹³	25	74.5	0.000
Magnetite + $6H^+$ = $3Fe^{2+}$ + $0.5O_2(g)$ +	-5.15	8.34×10 ⁻¹¹	60	18.6	0.279	Dawsonite + $3H^+ = Al^{3+} + Na^+ + HCO_{3^-}$	3.91	1.00×10 ⁻⁰⁷	25	62.8	0.000
3H ₂ O						Magnosita + Ut - Mgtt + UCO	2.04	4 17×10 -07	25	14.4	1 000
			-			Magnesite + H ⁺ = Mg ⁺⁺ + HCO ₃	2.04	4.17×10 **	25	14.4	1.000
						Rhodochrosite + H^+ = HCO_{3^-} + Mn^{2+}	-0.32	1.02×10 ⁻⁰³	25	21.0	0.900
						Siderite + H^+ = Fe^{2+} + HCO_3^-	-0.38	1.02×10 ⁻⁰³	25	21.0	0.900



$$r = k_{ref} A \exp\left[\frac{-E_a}{R}\left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{ref}}\right)\right]\left(1 - \frac{Q}{K}\right)$$

 $\frac{Q}{K_{eq}} \frac{1}{\dot{f}} 10^{(-h \ pH)}$

Secondary Modeling of Mineralization Wallula Basalt Pilot Project Injection (1000 tonnes)

Pacific

Northwest

NATIONAL LABORATORY



Change in mineral mass (total moles in model domain, negative value indicates dissolution, positive value indicates precipitation) after 1000 MT of CO2 Injection into the Slack Canyon #2 Flow Top.



Secondary Modeling of Mineralization Wallula Basalt Pilot Project Injection (1000 tonnes)



Mass balance of 1000 MT of CO2 Injection into the Slack Canyon #2 Flow Top.

Pacific

Northwest



Model for Reaction Mechanism Transitions Laboratory Experiments at PNNL

X75 200mm

20kU

20kU

X550

20.mm

10s687d

10s849d

Dissolution-Precipitation



 $r_{m} = -\operatorname{sgn} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{elog}}} \operatorname{c}_{\acute{e}}^{\mathscr{R}} \frac{Q_{m}}{K_{m}} \stackrel{\ddot{\operatorname{ou}}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vi}}} k_{25} \operatorname{exp} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{c}}} - \frac{E_{a}}{R} \stackrel{\mathscr{R}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{c}}} \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15} \stackrel{\ddot{\operatorname{ou}}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} k_{25} \operatorname{exp} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} - \frac{1}{R} \stackrel{\ddot{\operatorname{ou}}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} k_{25} \operatorname{exp} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} - \frac{1}{R} \stackrel{\ddot{\operatorname{ou}}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} - \frac{1}{298.15} \stackrel{\ddot{\operatorname{ouij}}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} k_{25} \operatorname{exp} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} - \frac{1}{R} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} - \frac{1}{298.15} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname{vij}}} - \frac{1}{R} \stackrel{\acute{e}}{\underset{\acute{e}}{\operatorname$

Sequential Transformation

$$r_m = k \, \hat{\vartheta} E$$





20kU

X750 20 Mm 10s858d

Early Career Contributions Driving Basalt Carbon Storage Advances

- Outreach is a keystone of our program, PUIs to R1s
- Early career researchers include interns, postdocs, staff, visitors, etc
- Product-driven research experience cultivates and unleashes talent
- Diversity and inclusion enables innovation and creativity, breadth of perspectives needed for global challenges •
- DOE synergy: FECM (MLEF), SC (VFP, SULI, SCGSR), NNSA (MSIIP)



Ellen Polites (MLEF)



Jade Holliman (MSIPP)



Charles Depp (SULI)



Dr. Sandy Taylor



Dr. Anil Battu



Dr. Ross Cao (CUSP)



Prof. Briana Aquila (VFP)



Landon Hardee (VFP)







Dr. Nabajit Lahiri

Multiscale Approach Enables Commercial-Scale Deployment Catalyzed by Scientific Discovery



Pacific

Northwest

Capture and Storage Hub

Pacific

Understanding Wet CO₂ Vital for Predicting and Optimizing Carbon Storage in Mafic-Ultramafic Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY Formations

nature

materials

- PNNL has pioneered the study of wet supercritical CO₂
 - CO₂-H₂O immiscible fluids coexist at GCS conditions
 - Wet scCO₂ more reactive than aqueousdissolved CO₂
 - Anomalous carbonation kinetics, pathways, and mechanisms
 - Field-lab kinetics paradigm flipped on its head
- Unparalleled Insight enabled by suite of high-pressure experimental capabilities
 - AFM, XRD, ATR-FTIR, Raman, QCM, MAS-**NMR**
 - Experimental results will parameterize reservoir • simulators
- High-profile results released in 2022 (IF > 43 and > 34)



Zhang et al. 2022, In situ imaging of amorphous intermediates during brucite carbonation in supercritical CO₂, Nature Materials https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-021-01154-5

nature reviews chemistry

Qomi et al. 2022, Molecular-Scale Mechanisms of CO₂ Mineralization in Nanoscale Interfacial Water Films, Accepted at Nature Reviews Chemistry





Clarifying Pyroxene Carbonation Pathways and Rates at Supercritical CO₂ Conditions **Critical for Enhancing and Predicting Mineralization**

Hydromagnesite $[Mg_5(CO_3)_4(OH)_2 \cdot 4H_2O]$ precipitates on amorphous MgSiO₃ highlights the controlling influence of silicate substrate



Real-time in situ monitoring of mineral phase Temperature dependence of reaction rates used to abundances with high pressure XRD at 90 bar parameterize reactive transport simulators scCO₂ and 50-110 °C T (°C) 110 50 -5 -6 $E_a=97\pm16$ kJ/mol -7 $R^2 = 0.975$ $\ln[k(s^{-1})]$ -8 -9 110 -10 -11 30 34 38 -12 26 Time (hrs) 2.55 2.702.85 3.00 3.15 Deg 2-theta

Hardee et al., Distinct Carbonation Reaction Pathways for Enstatite and Amorphous MgSiO₃ at Conditions Relevant to Carbon Storage in Mafic-Ultramafic Rocks. In prep for ES&T.

Aguila et al., Kinetics and pathways of complex Ca- and Mg- carbonate precipitation: Diopside huntinization insights for carbon mineralization in maficultramafic rocks. In prep for Environmental Science: Nano





Exotic Carbon Mineralization Paragenetic Sequence Revealed

- Aragonite, amorphous silica, and fibrous zeolite-like phase result from CO₂ injection (fate of AI and Si resolved)
- Mn-rich ankerite to Ca-rich siderite composition from core to rim
- Endmember ankerite [CaFe(CO₃)₂] composition at core-rim transition
- Information is being used to parametrize reservoir models and elucidate fate of mobilized basalt components



- Polites et al. 2022, *Exotic Carbonate Mineralization Recovered from a Deep Basalt Carbon Storage Demonstration*, In Review at ES&T
- Horner et al. 2022, Intertek Basalt Core Analysis Report, PNNL Report 30940
- Holliman et al. 2022, Carbon Sequestration in Basalts: Sidewall Core Characterization Data from Wallula Basalt Pilot Project, PNNL Report 32848







Quantification of Basalt Pore Network Architecture and Carbon Mineralization

- X-ray microtomography allows for pore network quantification and determination of phase abundances and spatial associations
- XMT captures internal zonation of carbonate nodules
- Information is being used to parametrize reservoir models (e.g. reactive surface area) and quantify degree of carbon mineralization at Wallula



Battu et al. 2022. *Quantification of CO*₂ *Mineralization in Sidewall Cores* Collected from Wallula Basalt Pilot Project, in prep for ES&T



Carbonate nodules (red) imaged within a post-injection Wallula sidewall core



Pore-scale Heterogeneities in the Stacked Reservoirs Produce a Diversity of Carbonation Pathways

- Most in-depth petrographic study of the Wallula samples to date
- Further insight into relationship between aragonite and ankerite/siderite nodules
- Morphology of nodule core varies from spherical-rhombohedral-acicular
- Diversity of carbonate size, morphology, and chemistry correlates with pore-lining phase
- Cryptic variability in enigmatic chlorophaeite precipitates





Depp et al., Pore-scale Microenvironments Control Anthropogenic Carbon Mineralization Outcomes in Basalt, In Review at ACS Earth & Space Chemistry



Vugs and voids

Spatially-Resolved Chemical Abundances and Coordination States Trace Fate and Transport of Mobilized Metals

- XRF and XPS confirm carbonate nodules may have chemical zonation with Ca-dominant, Mn-bearing cores
- Outer sections of nodules are Fe-dominant

Carbonate

- Comparing pre/post injection samples reveals Mn concentrated in natural pore-lining chlorophaeite
- Future work will integrate XRF, XPS, and XMT to determine how microenvironments control mobilization and scavenging of metals, vital for interpreting water chemistry evolution and constraining reactive transport models





Lahiri et al. 2022, TBA



Nanoscale Insights Into Mn-Fe-Ca Carbonate Growth Mechanisms and Outcomes

- Mn- and Fe-bearing sections of carbonate nodule extracted
- Critical mass of capabilities: EBSD, SEM, STEM, EDS, SAED, FIB, APT
- Nanoscale resolution for examining carbonate precipitates
- Initial results elucidate roles of oversaturation and structure-chemistry relationships



EBSD reveals spherulitic texture of Fe-rich region, consistent with optical microscopy



SEM images highlighting the steps involved in TEM lamella preparation using focused ion-beam milling techniques.

Taylor et al. 2022. TBA



Transformational Approaches to Geophysical Monitoring with Metamaterial Contrast Agents

- Current monitoring techniques for detecting and surveying injected CO₂, other fluid mixtures, and fracture networks suffer from low detection sensitivity and limited volumetric resolution
- Injectable colloidal nanoparticles influence elastic/anelastic properties of rocks (Young's modulus and attenuation)
- Forward seismic modelling illustrates unprecedented enhancement of
- Multimodal sensing responsive to near-wellbore NMR and Electrical surveys also
- Miller et al. 2022, ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.2c03187</u>
- Miller et al. 2018, ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b19249</u>
- Miller et al. 2019, URTeC, <u>https://doi.org/10.15530/urtec-2019-1123</u>
- Schaef et al. 2017, Energy Procedia, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.1506</u>
- Holliman et al., submitted to Materials Advances
- Nune et al., in review at Scientific Reports





Integrated Workflow will Enable De-risking of **Rapid and Permanent Carbon Storage in Basalts**

Task 1 Regional Geologic Model

Task 2 Numerical Simulation

Task 3 Preliminary Site Screening

Task 4 Characterization, Permitting, and Development Planning

Task 5 Stakeholder Engagement

Deliverables

- 1. Journal manuscript detailing regional geologic model and preliminary capacity estimates;
- 2. Deliverable: Journal manuscript detailing regional CO₂ storage reactive transport simulation results, including uncertainty quantification and sensitivity analysis on key parameters.
- 3. Report describing prioritized list of potential sites for additional pre-drilling data acquisition and/or characterization well(s).
- 4. Final report detailing permitting and development feasibility, including cost estimates and recommendations.
- 5. Regional stakeholder workshop report.



Acknowledgments

This work was sponsored by Carbon Utilization and Storage Partnership (CUSP) Office of Fossil Energy Carbon Management Darin Damiani National Energy Technology Laboratory Department of Energy SC WDTS

